



CONTROVERSES ET ACTUALITÉS EN CHIRURGIE VASCULAIRE  
**CONTROVERSIES & UPDATES  
IN VASCULAR SURGERY**

**JANUARY 25-27 2018**

**MARRIOTT RIVE GAUCHE & CONFERENCE CENTER**

**PARIS, FRANCE**

**WWW.CACVS.ORG**



**CACVS 2018**

**Statistics**



Number of registrants : 1 082

55 countries represented at the congress

31 exhibitors / sponsors

125 faculty members

155 presentations


58 eposters



The CACVS 2018 Edition gathered  
**284** French vascular surgeons




# Registration details






## Breakdown by Category






## Delegates breakdown






## Breakdown by Speciality (Excluding industry)






## Known sponsors' invitations of congress delegates only






## Geographic breakdown





# Geographic Breakdown

## Top 5 Within Europe – Excluding France





# Website and VOD



# Internet website statistics between September 2017 and March 2018

- Visits : 7 947
- Pages seen: 43 369
- Pages/visit: 2,89
- Average time spent: 00:03:21
- Rate of bounce: 42,79%
- New visitors: 78,9 %



# VOD 2018 – Global Analysis

**Datas between February 13 and March 12:**

**296** visits - **255** unique visitors



## Interactive PDF Programm

- 8 978 prints (counted each time a publication was displayed to a user in an embed or on issuu software)
- 467 reads (each time a user open a publication for more than 2 seconds)
- Average time spent : 01 minutes

### Top 10 countries

- |                  |           |
|------------------|-----------|
| - France         | - Italy   |
| - Jordan         | - Spain   |
| - United Kingdom | - Germany |
| - Belgium        | - Algeria |
| - USA            | - Japan   |



## Application – October 2017 to February 2018

- 365 downloads
- 12 843 uses
- 1 min 43 sec : average time use
- 247 push registrations



# Participant's Evaluation


## Main Session

At the end of CACVS 2018, 185 physicians filled in the evaluation form in conformity with the UEMS EVCME Guidelines

The CME Evaluation Survey hereafter is based on their answers




For 99,5 % of them, the congress fulfilled their educational goals and expected learning outcomes






99,5% were satisfied by the information learned during the congress






98,4% were satisfied by the education provided at this event






93% were satisfied by the time for discussions,  
questions and answers






99% were satisfied by the scientific base





97,3% were satisfied by the Speakers






98% were satisfied by the general organization






97% were satisfied by the meeting facilities






98% were satisfied by the scientific program






91,8% were satisfied by the congress catering






97% were satisfied by the congress staff






97% were satisfied by the congress desk







88% were satisfied by the voting system






# How would you rate the Industry symposia - Thursday January 25th






# How would you rate the Industry symposia - Friday January 26th


### Boston Scientific - Auditorium



### Bard - Auditorium




### Bard - Room B






# How would you rate the Industry symposia - Saturday January 27th


### Abbott - Auditorium



### Medtronic - Auditorium



### Terumo - Auditorium






# Participant's Evaluation Venous Session

At the end of CACVS 2018, 72 physicians filled in the evaluations form in conformity with the UEMS EVCME Guidelines

The CME Evaluation Survey here after is based on their answers




99% said the congress fulfilled their educational goals and expected learning outcomes






99% of attendees have learned information at the Venous Session that will help to improve their practice






97% have been satisfied by the education provided at this event






81% were satisfied by time for discussions,  
questions & answers and learner engagement






For 97%, the information presented consistently was supported by a valid scientific evidence base





93% were satisfied by the speakers





95% agreed that there was no bias in any of the scheduled educational events

