



# Is EVAS a proper choice in women?

#### **CACVS 2018**

Jan MM Heyligers, PhD, FEBVS Consultant Vascular Surgeon Elisabeth TweeSteden Hospital Tilburg The Netherlands





#### Disclosures

• Consultant for Endologix





## DEVASS =<u>D</u>utch <u>EVAS S</u>tudy group

St. Antonius Hospital Elisabeth TweeSteden Hospital Rijnstate Hospital Nieuwegein Tilburg Arnhem





- Women have a twofold increased frequency of rupture
- Average aortic size at rupture is 5 mm smaller
- Higher rate of undiagnosed cardiovascular diseases





- Smaller ileofemoral arteries
- More concomitant iliac aneurysms
- More challenging aortic neck





- Smaller proportion eligible for EVAR
- Less likely to meet EVAR IFU
- Longer length of hospital stay after EVAR
- Higher re-admission rate





- More major complications
- Higher mortality rate
- So, women and AAA is a challenging combination





#### What was the primary motive of EVAS? To overcome EVAR issues



EndoVascular Aneurysm Sealing System is an investigational device in the United States, limited by federal (or United States) law to investigational use only. The Nellix<sup>®</sup> EndoVascular Aneurysm Sealing System approved to treat infrarenal abdominal aortic aneurysms and is not approved for any other intended use in any geography.

## Endovascular versus open repair of abdominal aortic aneurysm in 15-years' follow-up of the UK endovascular aneurysm repair trial 1 (EVAR trial 1): a randomised controlled trial

Aneurysm-related survival log-rank p=0.29 Endovascular repair 100 Open repair reintervention (%) 80 80 60 Total survival log-rank p=0.49 60 Survival (%) alwithouta 40 40 Log-rank p<0.0001 Endovascular-repair any re-intervention 15-year survival Endovascular-repair aneurysm-related survival 83.0% (95% CI 76.2–88.0)

Rajesh Patel, Michael J Sweeting, Janet T Powell, Roger M Greenhalgh, for the EVAR trial investigators\*

"EVAR has an early survival benefit but an inferior late survival compared with open repair, which needs to be addressed by lifelong surveillance of EVAR and prompt re-intervention if necessary."

EndoVascular Aneurysm Sealing System is an investigational device in the United States, limited by federal (or United States) law to investigational use only. The Nellix<sup>®</sup> EndoVascular Aneurysm Sealing System approved to treat infrarenal abdominal aortic aneurysms and is not approved for any other intended use in any geography.







- Propensity-score matched cohorts of Medicare beneficiaries undergoing aneurysm repair
- 39,966 matched pairs of patients
- Early survival advantage for EVAR but significantly higher late rupture rate

#### N Engl J Med 2015;373:328-38

EndoVascular Aneurysm Sealing System is an investigational device in the United States, limited by federal (or United States) law to investigational use only. The Nellix<sup>®</sup> EndoVascular Aneurysm Sealing System approved to treat infrarenal abdominal aortic aneurysms and is not approved for any other intended use in any geography.



## **Rationale behind EVAS**



## Active aneurysm sac management

- Designed to mitigate endoleak of any type
- May prevent acute sac thrombosis – reduced Post Implant Syndrome
- Analogous to open surgical repair with sac ablation







EndoVascular Aneurysm Sealing System is an investigational device in the United States, limited by federal (or United States) law to investigational use only. The Nellix<sup>®</sup> EndoVascular Aneurysm Sealing System approved to treat infrarenal abdominal aortic aneurysms and is not approved for any other intended use in any geography.

#### Baseline characteristics EVASS



Baseline characteristics	Frequency	Percent
Number	45	100
Age at procedure*	76	67-80
ASA class		
2	19	42.2
>2	25	55.6
Missing	1	2.2
Hypertension	31	68.9
Hyperlipidemia	34	75.6
Smoking, or history of smoking in	26	57.8
Cardiac disease	1/	21.1
Pulmonary disease	15	33.3
Creatinine value* (micromol/L)	73	64.75-87.75
Diabetes mellitus	6	13.3
Known peripheral arterial disease	10	22.2
Prior vascular intervention	7	15.6
Thrombo-embolic event in history	8	17.8
Other concomitant aneurysm	10	22.2
Within instructions for use 2013	13	28.9
Within instructions for use 2016	8	17.8
Symptomatic AAA	0	0

#### Baseline characteristics EVAS



Baseline characteristics	Frequency	Percent
Number	45	100
Age at procedure*	76	67-80
ASA class		
2	19	42.2
>2	25	55.6
Missing	1	2.2
Hypertension	31	68.9
Hyperlipidemia	34	75.6
Smoking, or history of smoking	26	57.8
in last 10 years		
Cardiac disease	14	31.1
Pulmonary disease	15	33.3
Creatinine value* (micromol/L)	73	64.75-87.75
Diabetes mellitus	6	13.3
Known peripheral arterial disease	10	22.2
Prior vascular intervention	7	15.6
Thrombo-embolic event in history	8	17.8
Other concomitant aneurysm	10	22.2
Within instructions for use	13	28.9
2013		
Within instructions for use 2016	8	17.8

# Anatomical characteristics

Anatomical	Median	IQR (*percent)
characteristics	(*frequency)	
Infrarenal neck diameter	22.1	19-23.9
Infrarenal neck angle	22.6	11.6-32.5
Infrarenal neck length at	10.0	6.0-19.0
10% diameter increase		
AAA lumen diameter	38.6	34.4-44
AAA outer diameter	56.0	52.6-58.1
Ratio AAA outer diameter	1.39	1.20-1.70
to AAA lumen diameter		
Infrarenal lumen volume	62.5	49.9-90.2
Right CIA lumen diameter	9.0	11.0-17.0
Right CIA outer diameter	13.6	8.0-10.1
Right EIA diameter 9-	*31	*68.9
35mm		
Left CIA lumen diameter	9.0	7.4-10.0
Left CIA outer diameter	13.2	11.0-17.0
Left EIA diameter 9-	*32	*71.1
35mm		



Anatomical	Median	IQR (*percent)	
characteristics	(*frequency)		
Infrarenal neck	22.1	19-23.9	
diameter			
Infrarenal neck angle	22.6	11.6-32.5	
Infrarenal neck length	10.0	6.0-19.0	
at 10% diameter			
increase			
AAA lumen diameter	38.6	34.4-44	
AAA outer diameter	56.0	52.6-58.1	
Ratio AAA outer diameter	1.39	1.20-1.70	
to AAA lumen diameter			
Infrarenal lumen volume	62.5	49.9-90.2	
Right CIA lumen diameter	9.0	11.0-17.0	
Right CIA outer diameter	13.6	8.0-10.1	
Right EIA diameter 9-	*31	*68.9	
35mm			
Left CIA lumen diameter	9.0	7.4-10.0	
Left CIA outer diameter	13.2	11.0-17.0	



# Procedure characteristics et Z

Procedure characteristics	Number/median	Percentage/IQR
Anesthesia type		
General	39	86.7
Local	1	2.2
Regional	2	4.4
Missing	3	6.7
Access		
Cutdown	43	95.6
Percutaneous	0	0
Missing	2	4.4
Duration of hospital stay (days)	4.0	3.0-6.5
Number of days in ICU	0	0-0
Procedure time	100.0	78.0-136.0
Blood loss (mL)	200.0	100.0-400.0
Polymer volume	54.0	43.5-85
Secondary fill	8	17.8
Total fill volume	56.5	43.5-82
Polymer fill pressure	199.0	188.0-205.0
Procedural complication		
Endoleak type IA	2	4.4
Endoleak type II	0	0
Endobag rupture	0	0
Conversion to open repair	0	0



# Procedure characteristics etz

Procedure characteristics	Number/median	Percentage/IQR
Anesthesia type		
General	39	86.7
Local	1	2.2
Regional	2	4.4
Missing	3	6.7
Access		
Cutdown	43	95.6
Percutaneous	0	0
Missing	2	4.4
Duration of hospital stay (days)	4.0	3.0-6.5
Number of days in ICU	0	0-0
Procedure time	100.0	78.0-136.0
Blood loss (mL)	200.0	100.0-400.0
Polymer volume	54.0	43.5-85
Secondary fill	8	17.8
Total fill volume	56.5	43.5-82
Polymer fill pressure	199.0	188.0-205.0
Procedural complication		
Endoleak type IA	2	4.4
Endoleak type II	0	0
Endobag runture	0	0



Procedure characterics	Frequency	Percent
Normal	33	73.3
Unilateral		
Right	1	2.2
Left	1	2.2
Chimney	3	6.7
Distal extension	4	8.8
Unilateral Nellix with	1	2.2
chimney		
Nellix for CIAA	2	4.4



Procedure characterics	Frequency	Percent
Normal	33	73.3
Unilateral		
Right	1	2.2
Left	1	2.2
Chimney	3	6.7
Distal extension	4	8.8
Unilateral Nellix with	1	2.2
chimney		
Nellix for CIAA	2	4.4





#### **Clinical outcomes**

# Reinterventions 0-12 Mo etz

Time to reinterven tion (months)	Indication for reintervention	Type of reintervention	Within IFU	Complication of reintervention
0	Migration pre- existing stent	Removal old kissing stent	No	-
0	Thrombus in proximal nellix	Covered stent placement	No	-
0	Brachial artery occlusion after chimney	Venous patch brachial artery	No	-
11	IA Endoleak	Conversion to open repair	No	complicated by hemorrhage distal anastomosis, for which additional stitches were given. Complicated by kidney function deterioration (dialysis needed), atrial fibrillation, rectal bloodloss caused by bowel ischaemia, cardiac fluid overload, respiratory failure and urinary tract infection

# Reinterventions 12-24 Mo etz

Time to reinterven tion (months)	Indication for reintervention	Type of reintervention	Within IFU	Complication of reintervention
13	Stenosis	Relining	YES	Inguinal hematoma
14	IA Endoleak and migration	Relining iliac and Nellix in Nellix Chimney	No	-
25	IA Endoleak and migration	Conversion to open repair	No	Complicated by pneumonia, successfully treated by antibiotics
25	IA Endoleak	Nellix in Nellix Chimney	No	Renal insufficiency
25	IA Endoleak and migration	Conversion to open repair	YES	Death, Operation itself was uncomplicated, however, post- operatively the patient was in need of much inotropics and died due to limited cardiac reserves



#### Endoleak



Time to endoleak (months)	Type of endoleak	Within IFU	Reintervention preformed
10	IA	No	Conversion to open repair performed
10	IA	No	None reported
12	IA	No	Relining iliac + Nellix in Nellix chimney.
23	IA	No	Conversion to open repair
23	IA	No	Nellix in Nellix chimney
24	IA	YES	Conversion to open repair



## **Death characteristics**



Time to death (months)	Within IFU	Description cause of death
0: 8 days	No	Post-operative decreased consciousness. Developed acute kidney insufficiency and unstable haemodynamics. Decrease in neurological functions and pneumonia. Medical treatment was stopped and a comfort treatment was given after which patient died.
1	No	Pneunomia/ decompensatio cordis. discharged, died at home. Refused treatment
1	No	Unknown
2	No	Autopsy : Probable cause of death, pulmonary embolus. Nellix system open.
6	No	Patient developed ventricular fibrillation during dialysis treatment
18	No	Unknown
25	YES	Patient underwent a conversion to open repair for a suspicion of endoleak and flinching. Operation itself was uncomplicated, however, post-operatively the patient was in need of inotropics and died due to limited cardiac reserves





#### **Re-interventions**

- 0 12 Months:
- 13 24 Months:

4 (8,9%) 5 (11,1%)





#### **Conversion to open repair**

- 0 12 Months: 1 (2
- 13 24 Months:

1 (2,2%) 2 (4,4%)





#### **Endovascular re-intervention**

• 0 - 12 Months:

• 13 - 24 Months:

2 (4,4%) = removal iliac stent; covered stent placement 3 (6,7%) = 2 NINA Chimney; relining





#### **Endoleak IA**

- 0 12 Months: 3 (6,69
- 13 24 Months:

3 (6,6%) 3 (6,6%)





#### Endoleak II

• None reported





#### Death

- 0 12 Months:
- 13 25 Months:

5 (11,1%) of which 1 ARM 2 (4,4%) of which 1 ARM





# Comparing these data with the EVAS Global data



#### Years

1.0 - •	•	98.1 %	All Endoleak	<b>1.8% (5)</b> (N = 277)
0.8 -			Type la	0.4% (1)
0.6 -			Type lb	0.4% (1)
0.5 -			Type II	0.7% (2)
0.4 -			Type III	-
0.3 –			Туре	0.4%(1)
0.2 -			Unknown	0.470(1)
0.1 -			Mean follow-up	o 25 mo (0-35 m
277 0	77 267 262 258 255 251 249 245 243 242 240 235 204 147 80 40   0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30			



Month





# Freedom from all persistant endoleaks after 2 years

• 1 = 97,8%





#### **Freedom From Type II Endoleak**









#### No Secondary Interventions for Type II Endoleak







### Freedom from type II endoleak after 2 years

• **100%** 



#### Freedom from Type Ia Endoleak: On and Off-



Complex Proximal Neck Anatomy



Large proximal necks >28mm Thrombus-laden necks



(based on original IFU)





### Freedom from type la Endoleak

- 6 = 86,7%
- Reminder: 71,1% outside IFU



#### Freedom from Secondary Intervention: Onand Off-IFU



GLOBAL REGISTRY





### Freedom from Secondary Interventions

• 9 = 80%





#### Freedom from Mortality @ 2 Years









### Freedom from Mortality @ 2 Years

- ARM: 95,6%
- ACM: 84,4%





#### Conclusions

- First cohort that focusses on women after EVAS
- Majority outside IFU (71,1%)
- Trend towards more secondary interventions?
- Trend towards higher mortality rate?





# Is EVAS a proper choice in women?

- Results appear to be in concordance with the results of EVAR in women in literature
- Not many women are within IFU
- EVAS can be offered to women



