MARRIOTT RIVE GAUCHE & CONFERENCE CENTER, PARIS, FRANCE

Hemodialysis Vascular Access in Children

Deepa H. Chand, M.D., MHSA

Division of Pediatric Nephrology

Washington University School of Medicine

Pharmacovigilance and Patient Safety

AbbVie

chanddeepa1@gmail.com



Disclosure

Deepa Chand, MD, MHSA

I have the following potential conflicts of interest to report:

□ Consulting

X Employment in industry

X Shareholder in a healthcare company

Owner of a healthcare company

Other(s)

□ I do not have any potential conflict of interest



Pediatric Data: NAPRTCS 1996

Table 6 HD access

	n (682)	%
A. Access type		100.0
 External percutaneous catheter 	504	75.7
Subclavian vein	386	
Jugular vein	78	
Femoral vein	37	
Single lumen	23	
Double lumen	478	
2. External arteriovenous shunt	4	0.6
Internal arteriovenous fistula	76	11.4
Internal arteriovenous graft	82	12.3
Autologous vein	3	
Bovine graft	1	
PTFE graft	74	
Other graft	0	
B. Locations	78	100.0
for shunts Upper arm	24	30.8
fistulae, and Lower arm	44	56.4
grafts Thigh	10	12.8

- Central venous catheters (CVC) used in 76% of children on chronic HD vs 11% AVF and 12% AV grafts.
- Lower arm was most frequently used site for long term access.

PTFE, Polytetrafluoroethylene

FEBRUARY 7-9, 2019 MARRIOTT RIVE GAUCHE & CONFERENCE CENTER PARIS, FRANCE WWW.CACVS.ORG

NAPRTCS 2004: 8 Years Later.....

EXHIBIT 9.4

HEMODIALYSIS ACCESS

	N (2393)	% (100)
External Percutaneous Catheter	1899	79.4
Subclavian vein	1174	
Jugular vein	629	
Femoral vein	80	
Single lumen	62	
Double lumen	1821	
External Arteriovenous Shunt	7	0.3
Internal Arteriovenous Fistula	281	11.7
Internal Arteriovenous Graft	206	8.6
Autologous vein	7	
Bovine graft	1	
PTFE graft	190	
Other graft	4	
Locations of Shunts, Fistulae, and Grafts	152	100.0
Upper arm	36	23.7
Lower arm	97	63.8
Thigh	19	12.5

Prevalence Data

- External catheter usage is not decreasing
 76% 1996 vs. 79% in 2004
 Subclavian vein usage is decreasing
 - •77% 1996 vs. 62% in 2004
- Internal jugular usage is increasing
 - •15% 1996 vs. 33% in 2004

Neu AM et al (Peds Neph 2002):

• Odds of using a jugular CVC instead of a subclavian has increased by 24% per year from 1992-2000

FEBRUARY 7-9, 2019MARRIOTT RIVE GAUCHE & CONFERENCE CENTERPARIS, FRANCEWWW.CACVS.ORG



- CMS and ESRD networks launched in 2003 to achieve targets (AVF >65%, CVC <10%) in adults through change concepts and process improvement.
- 2005 IPPFI launched
- NKF KDOQI 2006 Update: Clinical Practice Recommendations: Vascular Access in Pediatrics

So, how are we doing now?





Vascular access type at initiation of incident pediatric hemodialysis patients by year and age, 2006-2016







Distribution of vascular access type in prevalent pediatric hemodialysis patients



Data Source: Special analyses, CROWNWeb clinical extracts for May 2017. Hemodialysis patients initiating treatment for ESRD at least 90 days prior to May 1, 2017, *who were <22 years old as of May 1, 2017, and who were alive 7 through May 31, 2017; Catheter=any catheter use; fistula and graft use shown are without the use of a catheter. 2018 Annual Data Report Volume 2 ESRD, Chapter 7







HD Access in the Pediatric Patient

1284 Prevalent Pediatric patients (< 18 yrs) on HD as part of 2001-2003 Clinical Performance Measures (CPM) Project: 41% AVF/AVG; 59% CVC (755 pts)

- Dialysis centers listed reason for catheter as "Transplant Scheduled"-10.1% (83 pts)
- 69% transplanted by 1 yr (median 115 days) So despite "scheduled", 31% not transplanted by 1 year



Peritoneal Dialysis

FEBRUARY 7-9, 2019 MARRIOTT RIVE GAUCHE & CONFERENCE CENTER PARIS, FRANCE WWW.CACVS.ORG

Dialysis Facility

Patient age, poor vasculature

Proceduralist



Patient age, poor vasculature

•





- Communication problems existed with surgeons, interventional radiologists, and nephrologists.
- 93% of dialysis facility staff stated they would change practice patterns based on the IPFFI intervention.
- 12% of surgeons stated they would alter practice patterns.



Is Catheter Avoidance Realistic in Pediatrics?

- Unless patient arrives at end stage, this should be achievable
- Requires timely referral for permanent access
- Requires a vascular access team
 - Vascular access surgeon
 - Radiology for desired preop imaging (Duplex or venography)
 - Coordinated efforts from the medical and surgical team (Pre-op and Post-op)



Reality-It's been done

- Bourquelot et al. first reported the use of microsurgery for creation of AVF in children in 1978 and subsequently reported the use of microsurgery successfully in children under 10 kg in 1981
- Bagolan, et al. 10 yr AVF data from Italy: 112 procedures in 90 children
- Microsurgical creation of Brescia-Cimino (radiocephalic) AVF
- Primary patency rate 81/90; 89% [<15 kg]; 90% [>15 kg]
- Mean age 5.5 yrs (5.5 mos-18 yrs); Mean wt: 28kg (6.5-54 kg)
 - 16% were children <5 yrs; 18% were children <15 kg
- Outcome: 64% patent at 4 years: 70% for those >15 kg and 57% for those < 15 kg

Conclusion: Microsurgery is essential to create AVFs with good results in children



Permanent HD access in children

- AVF:
 - 24 procedures (19 pts)
 - Mean age: 15.1 yrs; Mean weight: 46 kg
 - Site: Radiocephalic (Brescia-Cimino): 22/24
 - Primary failure rate 33.3%
 - No significant difference noted in age or wt of patient when comparing AVF patients with primary failure vs those with functional AVF at 6 weeks

- AVG:
 - 28 procedures (23 pts)
 - Mean age: 13.3 yrs; Mean weight: 41.5 kg
 - Site: Thigh most common (14/28)
 - Primary failure rate 3.6%
 - Access stenosis and infection were higher in AVG vs AVF, but thrombotic episodes were not significantly different.

Conclusion: Children >10 kg, either AVF or AVG should be the goal **Suggested "early AVF function is probably more dependent on surgical expertise and vessel integrity than vessel caliber"



But, is surgical technique optimization enough?

What strategies can one use to increase permanent vascular access placement?



IPPFI Initiative

- International Pediatric Fistula First Initiative (IPFFI) as part of MWPNC
- Created to alert Ped Neph, surgeons, and dialysis staff about value of AVF in pediatrics
- Educational DVD around strategies and expectations to increase AVF usage in children; Pre and Post educational survey conducted
- 5 participating centers with 52 surveyed participants
 - Vascular access placed within 3 months in only 35% of patients
 - Interdisciplinary communication problems between Nephrology, Surgery, and IR identified as major barrier
 - Lack of Maturation \rightarrow most common reason for not using AVF
 - Routine Vascular access rounds not occurring at any center



Effects of Dedicated CKD Clinic on Vascular Access



Hemodialysis access type

Comparison of Gen Neph vs dedicated CKD Clinic

•ESRD Modality : No difference in Pre-emptive Tx or Dialysis modality choice

•Onset of Dialysis: Unscheduled vs Elective

- Unscheduled: Gen Neph (50%) vs CRI clinic (10.5%)
- Vascular access type at Initiation of HD
 - AVF/AVG: Gen Neph (20%) vs CKD Clinic (85.7%)

Figure 1. Vascular access types for hemodialysis for patients from the two clinics. Patients in the chronic renal insufficiency (CRI) clinic had a higher percentage of permanent access compared with those in the general nephrology clinic (85.7 versus 20%, P < 0.05).



Vascular Access Team can increase AVF in Children

- Dedicated team: Surgeon, Nephrologist, IR, RNs, Child Life specialist
- Achieved approximately 70% AVF rates for children in US at single center



TABLE 3. Outcomes of AVF creation with operating microscope

Patient	AVF site	Arterial size (mm)	Venous size (mm)	maturation	No. interventions
1	L RC R TRP BB	1.5 1.5	2.5	10	0
3	L TRP BB	1.5	3	11	1

AVF, arteriovenous fistula; L, left; R, right; BC, brachiocephalic; TRP BB, transposed brachiobasilic; RC, radiocephalic.

Reducing central venous catheters in chronic hemodialysis—a commitment to arteriovenous fistula creation in children



Rossana Baracco • Tej Mattoo • Amrish Jain • Gaurav Kapur • Rudolph P. Valentini

Fig. 2 Cumulative (*Cum*) Survival of arteriovenous fistula (AVF) vs. arteriovenous graft (AVG) and central venous catheter (*CVC*). *p*<0.001





Pediatric Vascular Access Summary-Lessons Learned

- Mindset of AVF and AVG should be the HD access in all children
- Preserve vessels (especially in non-dominant arm) in early stage CKD
 - Avoid phlebotomy, IVs and PICC lines
- Identify children with chronic kidney disease who are candidates for hemodialysis at least 6 months before anticipated dialysis date
 - Will allow for revision if AVF is slow to mature
- Doppler exam +/- Venogram of prior to surgical referral
- Discussion with surgeon, RN, (interventional) radiologist pre-operatively

Pediatric Vascular Access Summary-Lessons Learned

- Schedule AVF/AVG on non-dialysis day when intravascular volume likely to be higher, and intraoperative heparin risks lower
- BP medications reduced in immediate pre-op period
- Started on ASA 81 mg one week prior to surgery
- Intraoperative monitoring of BP-aiming for minimum of 90th percentile for age (support with fluids/inotropes if needed)
- Consider intraoperative papavarine to reduce vascular spasms
- Use of loupes/operating microscope



Pediatric Vascular Access Summary-Lessons Learned

- Consider use of dihydropyridine calcium channel blocker
- Observe in hospital overnight to monitor BP, bruit/thrill, and volume status
- Educate family re: fistula care-avoid compression and exercises to support
- Schedule follow up with vascular access surgeon- 2 weeks
- Multidisciplinary rounds within the dialysis unit
- Monitor, monitor, monitor



An organized and committed, multidisciplinary team approach with patient and staff education, proper planning, interdisciplinary communication, and close follow up makes AVF placement in children achievable.

One Happy Dialysis Patient

 Now I am able to swim, shower, and bathe without having to stay in the hospital, undergo multiple surgeries, and get exposed to multiple antibiotics

