



CONTROVERSES ET ACTUALITÉS EN CHIRURGIE VASCULAIRE
CONTROVERSIES & UPDATES IN VASCULAR SURGERY


FEBRUARY 7-9, 2019



MARRIOTT RIVE GAUCHE & CONFERENCE CENTER, **PARIS, FRANCE**

CACVS 2019

Statistics



Number of registrants : 1 007

54 countries represented at the congress

31 exhibitors / sponsors

138 faculty members

171 presentations


33 eposters




The CACVS 2019 Edition gathered
256 French vascular surgeons




Registration details







Breakdown by Category






Delegates breakdown






Breakdown by Speciality (Excluding industry)






Known sponsors' invitations of congress delegates only






Geographic breakdown





Geographic Breakdown

Top 5 Within Europe – Excluding France






Website and VOD



Internet website statistics between September 2018 and March 2019

- New visitors: 9 117 (82,3%)
- Pages seen : 41 436
- Unique page seen : 32 228
- Session number (all support) : 14 607
- Pages/visit: 2,84
- Average time spent: 00:02:51



VOD 2019 – Global Analysis

Datas between February 22 and March 27:

377 visits - **208** unique visitors




Interactive PDF Programm

- 1 310 prints (counted each time a publication was displayed to a user in an embed or on issuu software)
- 688 reads (each time a user open a publication for more than 2 seconds)
- Average time spent : 3,2 days


Top Countries :

France
Tunisia
Netherlands
Spain
Reunion
Switzerland
Czech Republic



Application – September 2018 to March 2019

- 465 downloads
- 5 457 uses
- 1 min 34 sec : average time use
- 303 push registrations







Participant's Evaluation Main Session

At the end of CACVS 2019, 205 physicians filled in the evaluation form in conformity with the UEMS EVCME Guidelines

The CME Evaluation Survey hereafter is based on their answers





For 98 % of them, the congress fulfilled their educational goals and expected learning outcomes







97,1% were satisfied by the information learned during the congress







98,1% were satisfied by the education provided at this event







95% were satisfied by the time for discussions, questions and answers







97% were satisfied by the scientific base






96,1% were satisfied by the Speakers






95% thought there was no bias in any of the scheduled educational events






98% were satisfied by the general organization







90% were satisfied by the meeting facilities







97% were satisfied by the scientific program







86,8% were satisfied by the congress catering







95% were satisfied by the congress staff






96% were satisfied by the congress desk






How would you rate the Industry symposia - Thursday February 7th

Terumo Aortic - Auditorium




Cook - Auditorium






How would you rate the Industry symposia - Friday February 8th


Abbott - Auditorium




Angiodroid - Auditorium




Boston Scientific - Auditorium



Gore - Auditorium




Bard - Auditorium







How would you rate the Industry symposia - Saturday February 9th

Medtronic - Auditorium




GE Healthcare - Auditorium






Grants : 38% benefited of the Grant Industry






90% were satisfied by the information regarding the Grants from divine id





76% were satisfied by the information regarding the Grants from the Industry







Participant's Evaluation Venous Session


At the end of CACVS 2019, 76 physicians filled in the evaluations form
in conformity with the UEMS EVCME Guidelines

The CME Evaluation Survey here after is based on their answers





99% said the congress fulfilled their educational goals and expected learning outcomes






99% of attendees have learned information at the Venous Session that will help to improve their practice







97% have been satisfied by the education provided at this event






87% were satisfied by time for discussions,
questions & answers and learner engagement






For 97%, the information presented consistently was supported by a valid scientific evidence base







94% were satisfied by the speakers





99% agreed that there was no bias in any of the scheduled educational events





Main Session global comments

Very good meeting with good topics and interesting discussion.

Great meeting - informative talks, good speakers

As usual , CACVS is very interesting and exciting ! Thank you.

I'll be back next year: good congress, good location.

Excellent congrès, toujours un plaisir d'y assister et apprendre de nouvelles techniques.

Excellent high quality vascular meeting

All in all the meeting was super! Very well organized and interesting sessions on relevant topics.

My congratulations to the organization, it was a pleasure to attend my first CACVS.

Next year, I would like to visit again the congress

I think it's a good meeting because there's just 1 room for arterial and 1 room in venous, so you don't get lost in all these rooms. Furthermore, there's less influence from the industry, so the real important issues are discussed. Finally, I have to compliment you about the food which was fantastic and a big contrast to ALL other congresses!



Venous Session global comments

Interesting topics

Very good meeting with good faculty

All papers were excellently presented and caused very interesting discussions, majority of papers presented were important in practical point of view

It was very interesting

Excellent Congrès, les thèmes abordés couvrent notre pratique quotidienne

I just would suggest to have less topics and more time for discussion

Very well organized

it was perfect

Very good sessions